

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 17-0304V
Filed: March 6, 2018
UNPUBLISHED

LISA SORROW,
Petitioner,
v.
SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,
Respondent.

Special Processing Unit (SPU);
Damages Decision Based on Proffer;
Tetanus Diphtheria acellular
Pertussis (Tdap) Vaccine; Shoulder
Injury Related to Vaccine
Administration (SIRVA)

Leah VaSahnja Durant, Law Offices of Leah V. Durant, PLLC, Washington, DC, for petitioner.

Justine Elizabeth Walters, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES¹

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On March 3, 2017, Lisa Sorrow (“petitioner”) filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a left shoulder injury as a result of receiving a Tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis (“Tdap”) vaccine on March 24, 2016. Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On November 3, 2017, a ruling on entitlement was issued, finding petitioner entitled to compensation for her shoulder injury. On March 5, 2018, respondent filed a proffer on award of compensation (“Proffer”) indicating petitioner should be awarded \$75,079.14. Proffer at 1. In the Proffer, respondent represented that petitioner agrees

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

with the proffered award. Based on the record as a whole, the undersigned finds that petitioner is entitled to an award as stated in the Proffer.

Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached Proffer, **the undersigned awards petitioner a lump sum payment of \$75,079.14 in the form of a check payable to petitioner, Lisa Sorrow.** This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under § 300aa-15(a).

The clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey

Nora Beth Dorsey
Chief Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

LISA SORROW,)	
)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	No. 17-304V
)	Chief Special Master Dorsey
)	ECF
SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND)	SPU
HUMAN SERVICES,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
)	

RESPONDENT'S PROFFER ON AWARD OF COMPENSATION

On March 3, 2017, petitioner, Lisa Sorrow, filed a petition for compensation under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 to -34 (“Vaccine Act”), alleging that she developed a left shoulder injury as a result of receiving a Tetanus, diphtheria, acellular pertussis (“Tdap”) vaccine on March 24, 2016. On November 1, 2017, respondent filed her Rule 4(c) Report stating that petitioner’s left shoulder injury is consistent with a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”) and conceding that her SIRVA is compensable under the Vaccine Act. Accordingly, on November 3, 2017, Chief Special Master Dorsey issued a Ruling on Entitlement finding that petitioner is entitled to compensation for her SIRVA.

I. Items of Compensation

Based upon the evidence of record, respondent proffers that petitioner should be awarded \$75,079.14, which represents all elements of compensation to which petitioner is entitled under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a).¹ Petitioner agrees.

¹ Should petitioner die prior to entry of judgment, the parties reserve the right to move the Court for appropriate relief. In particular, respondent would oppose any award for future medical expenses, future lost earnings, and future pain and suffering.

II. Form of the Award

The parties recommend that the compensation provided to petitioner should be made through a lump sum payment of \$75,079.14 in the form of a check payable to petitioner. Petitioner agrees.

Respectfully submitted,

CHAD A. READLER
Acting Assistant Attorney General

C. SALVATORE D'ALESSIO
Acting Director
Torts Branch, Civil Division

CATHARINE E. REEVES
Deputy Director
Torts Branch, Civil Division

ALEXIS B. BABCOCK
Assistant Director
Torts Branch, Civil Division

s/ Justine Walters
JUSTINE WALTERS
Trial Attorney
Torts Branch, Civil Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 146, Benjamin Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044-0146
Tel: (202) 307-6393

DATE: March 5, 2018